

Trauma Framework Goal 1: Leadership

DRAFT Minutes

Date/Time: August 14, 2012, 4 p.m.

Venue: ODPS Shipley Building

Chair: Doug Paul, DO

Minutes taken by: Rhonda Evans

Attendees: Diane Simon, Angie Simmons, Vickie Graymire, Mark Resanovich, Mark Marchetta, Kathy Haley, Carol Jacobson, Deanna Harris, Bruce Shade, Lynn Haas

Staff: Rhonda Evans, Ryan Frick, Sue Morris

Topic	Discussion	Actions	Responsible	Deadline
Call to order	Meeting was called to order at 4:00 p.m.			
Goal 1.1 External Assessment	<p>Leadership Goal 1.1 - An external assessment is conducted on the state-wide trauma system. Legislative needs identified by Leadership subcommittee should be passed along to the Policy and Regulation committee. Deanna Harris reported the funding buckets as being divided into the following: Transportation & Driving, Dispatch & Communications, Disaster Response, Data Collection, Funding, Education, Other (including RPAB items). She also reported that it is important that all identified legislative needs are documented on the appropriate form. Kathy Haley suggested resurfacing the legislative needs document and review for present day validity and estimate what it would take to bring up-to-date. Question arose regarding how to handle legislative needs outside of Goal 1: Leadership.</p>	<p>Find and send out legislative needs document to Leadership workgroup.</p> <p>Subcommittee being formed to review. Ruling from Dr. Saxe will be solicited regarding legislative needs outside of Goal 1: Leadership and reported back to Goal 1 workgroup.</p>	<p>Erskine</p> <p>Dr. Paul will chair. Dr. Paul</p>	

<p>Goal 1.2 Stakeholders update</p>	<p>Leadership Goal 1.2: A formal assessment of the needs of the various constituencies of the trauma system. Responsible parties (Schuck and Johanningman) were not in attendance. Tim Erskine reported that the constituencies have been identified but that no progress has been reported in regards to a letter identifying their needs.</p> <p>Kathy Haley reported on the successful outcome of the ODPS survey of the general public at the Ohio State Fair, where over a 12 day period 845 respondents completed the survey. Survey results are currently being analyzed. Goal 9: Professional Education & Public Info will lead the task of compiling results and reporting to Trauma Committee in September. Ms. Haley stated that the data would then go back to the workgroup group to be able to create public and legislative fact sheets.</p>	<p>Topic tabled until responsible member is in attendance.</p> <p>Create Public and Legislative Fact Sheets.</p> <p>Initial snapshot will be sent to the chairs of Goal 1: Leadership committee.</p>	<p>Goal 9/Haley</p> <p>Haley</p>	
-------------------------------------	---	--	----------------------------------	--

<p>Development of risk-adjusted outcome data: TQIP & OHA Presentations</p>	<p>TQIP & OHA presentations were summarized on the two attachments (ratings - raw tallies and comments). This workgroup was tasked with developing a consensus/opinion and make recommendation to Trauma Committee about which model, one, the other, or a combination of the two would be best for trauma data with regard to risk adjustment. Tim Erskine asked "Is TQIP what we wanted because it is more than a risk adjustment methodology? Risk adjustment is used, but it is an entire performance improvement plan. Risk adjustment is only one small component of the whole TQIP product." After lengthy discussion, it was decided to get more information from TQIP and OHA. From TQIP, the committee would like to know: 1) What is their plan/capabilities to accommodate Level 3's and pediatrics, 2) Is partial program purchase available (i.e. risk adjustment and benchmarking)? 3) Can custom reports be created upon request or are there only canned reports to choose from? 4) Timeframe? 5) Potential/timeline/costs for non-trauma centers? From OHA: 1) Cost? 2) Can custom reports be created upon request or are there only canned reports to choose</p>			
--	---	--	--	--

	<p>After lengthy discussion, it was decided to get more information from TQIP and OHA. From TQIP, the committee would like to know: 1) What is their plan/capabilitoes to accomodate Level 3's and peditrics, 2) Is partial program purchase available (i.e. risk adjustment and benchmarking)? 3) Can custom reports be created upon request or are there only canned reports to choose from? 4) Timeframe? 5) Potential/timeline/costs for non-trauma centers? From OHA: 1) Cost? 2) Can custom reports be created upon request or are there onlycanned reports to choose from?, 3) Timeframe?</p>	<p>Solicit answers to questions identified in Discussion Section from TQIP and OHA. Solicit information from Goal 7 (PI) regarding what scope is needed to be able to develop a competent and thorough PI program.</p> <p>Begin the education process - Explain to Trauma Committee what risk adjustment is and why Goal 1 is looking at it.</p> <p>Find document that explains/defines risk adjustment.</p>	<p>Erskine</p> <p>Haas</p> <p>Steinberg</p> <p>Haley</p>	
Dashboard review	Members briefly reviewed the Goal 1 Dashboard. Some items can be implemented now.	Make Dashboard review part of next agenda	Steinberg	
New Business	No new business was brought forward.			
Adjournment	Meeting was adjourned at 5:40 p.m.			